

Constructive proofs of some positivstellensätze for compact semialgebraic subsets of $\mathbb{R}^d$

Gennadiy Averkov*

March 14, 2012

Abstract

In a broad sense, positivstellensätze are results about representations of polynomials which are strictly positive on a given set. We give constructive and, to a large extent, elementary proofs of some known positivstellensätze for compact semialgebraic subsets of $\mathbb{R}^d$. The presented proofs extend and simplify arguments of Berr, Wörmann (2001) and Schweighofer (2002, 2005).

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: Primary: 14P10; Secondary: 12Y05, 52B11, 90C30

Keywords: polyhedron; polytope; positivity; positivstellensatz; preordering; semiring; quadratic module

1 Introduction

In what follows $\mathbb{F}$ is a subfield of $\mathbb{R}$, $d \in \mathbb{N}$ and $X_1, \dots, X_d$ are indeterminates. Let $X := (X_1, \dots, X_d)$. By $\mathbb{F}[X]$ denote the ring of all polynomials in indeterminates $X_1, \dots, X_d$ and with coefficients in $\mathbb{F}$. A polynomial $f \in \mathbb{F}[X]$ is called *linear* if f has degree at most one. For $n \in \mathbb{N}$ let $[n] := \{1, \dots, n\}$ and let $[0] = \emptyset$. If $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $i \in [n]$ and $u \in \mathbb{R}^d$, then by u_i we denote the i -th component of u . Given $U \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$ let $U_{\geq 0} := \{u \in U : u \geq 0\}$ and $U_{> 0} := \{u \in U : u > 0\}$. For $\mathcal{F} \subseteq \mathbb{F}[X]$ we define

$$\text{cone}_{\mathbb{F}} \mathcal{F} := \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i f_i : n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \text{ and } f_i \in \mathcal{F}, \lambda_i \in \mathbb{F}_{\geq 0} \forall i \in [n] \right\}.$$

Throughout the text we consider $a_1, \dots, a_m \in \mathbb{F}[X]$ with $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and $a := (a_1, \dots, a_m)$. With a we associate the so-called *basic closed set* in $\mathbb{R}^d$ given by

$$\{a_1 \geq 0, \dots, a_m \geq 0\} := \left\{ x \in \mathbb{R}^d : a_1(x) \geq 0, \dots, a_m(x) \geq 0 \right\}.$$

We study polynomials strictly positive on $\{a_1 \geq 0, \dots, a_m \geq 0\}$. Results about such polynomials are called positivstellensätze. See [BCR98, Mar08] for background information from real

*Institute for Mathematical Optimization, Faculty of Mathematics, University of Magdeburg, 39106 Magdeburg, Germany; email: averkov@math.uni-magdeburg.de

algebraic geometry and [PS09, Las10] for various areas of applications. By a we also define the following subsets of $\mathbb{F}[X]$:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{S}_{\mathbb{F}}(a) &:= \text{cone}_{\mathbb{F}} \left\{ a_1^{k_1} \cdots a_m^{k_m} : k_1, \dots, k_m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \right\}, \\ \mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{F}}(a) &:= \text{cone}_{\mathbb{F}} \left\{ p^2 a_1^{k_1} \cdots a_m^{k_m} : p \in \mathbb{F}[X], k_1, \dots, k_m \in \{0, 1\} \right\}, \\ \mathcal{M}_{\mathbb{F}}(a) &:= \text{cone}_{\mathbb{F}} \left\{ p^2 a_i^{k_i} : p \in \mathbb{F}[X], i \in [m], k_i \in \{0, 1\} \right\},\end{aligned}$$

The set $\mathcal{S}_{\mathbb{F}}(a)$ is a semiring, $\mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{F}}(a)$ is a preordering and $\mathcal{M}_{\mathbb{F}}(a)$ is a quadratic module. We have $\mathcal{S}_{\mathbb{F}}(a) \subseteq \mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{F}}(a)$, $\mathcal{M}_{\mathbb{F}}(a) \subseteq \mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{F}}(a)$ and, if $m = 1$, then $\mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{F}}(a) = \mathcal{M}_{\mathbb{F}}(a)$. For the sake of brevity in what follows we shall omit the subscript $\mathbb{F}$ and write $\mathcal{S}(a)$, $\mathcal{P}(a)$ and $\mathcal{M}(a)$.

The main aim of this paper is to give a constructive and (mostly) elementary proof of the following theorem.

Theorem 1. *Let $S := \{a_1 \geq 0, \dots, a_m \geq 0\}$ be nonempty and bounded and let $f \in \mathbb{F}[X]$ be strictly positive on S . Then the following statements hold.*

(JP) *If $\mathcal{M}(a)$ contains linear polynomials $l_1, \dots, l_k$, with $k \in \mathbb{N}$, such that $\{l_1 \geq 0, \dots, l_k \geq 0\}$ is bounded, then $f \in \mathcal{M}(a)$.*

(H) *If $a_1, \dots, a_m$ are all linear, then $f \in \mathcal{S}(a)$.*

(P) *If for some $g \in \mathcal{M}(a)$ the set $\{g \geq 0\}$ is bounded, then $f \in \mathcal{M}(a)$.*

(S) *One has $f \in \mathcal{P}(a)$.*

If $a_1, \dots, a_m$ are all linear and the polyhedron $S = \{a_1 \geq 0, \dots, a_m \geq 0\}$ is nonempty and bounded, then (JP) implies that every polynomial strictly positive on S necessarily belongs to $\mathcal{M}(a)$. This was shown for the case $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{R}$ by Jacobi and Prestel [JP01] with nonconstructive arguments (see also [PD01, Theorem 5.3.8, Corollary 6.3.5 and Exercise 6.5.3]). To the best of author's knowledge no constructive proof of (JP) has previously been available. Assertions (H), (P) and (S) are well-known theorems of Handelman [Han88], Putinar [Put93] and Schmüdgen [Sch91], respectively. For further information on Theorem 1 see also [Mar08, Chapters 6, 7]. The original proofs of (H), (P) and (S) are highly nonconstructive. Constructive proofs of (H) and (S) were given in [Sch02] (see also [PR01, §3] for a related constructive proof of (H)). A constructive proof of (P) for the case $g = \rho - \sum_{i=1}^d X_i^2$, where $\rho \in \mathbb{F}_{>0}$, was given in [Sch05]. In this paper we present an elementary and short proof of (H) and show that the arguments from [BW01, Sch02, Sch05] can be used to give a simple constructive proof of (JP), (P) and (S). Our proof of Theorem 1 is elementary with one exception: following [BW01, Sch02] in the proof of (S) we use Stengle's positivstellensatz. Since we prove (P) with the help of (S), also (P) depends on Stengle's positivstellensatz. In contrast to [Sch02] we do not use Hilbert's basis theorem (see, for example, [CLO07, Chapter 2, §5]). As a consequence, on the algorithmic level one can avoid construction of Gröbner bases (see [CLO07, Chapter 2]), which is computationally expensive in general. Below we list the results which are used in the proof of Theorem 1.

Theorem 2. (Affine version of Farkas' lemma [Sch86, Corollary 7.1h]). *Let $a_1, \dots, a_m \in \mathbb{F}[X]$ be all linear and let the polyhedron $S := \{a_1 \geq 0, \dots, a_m \geq 0\}$ be nonempty. Then every linear $f \in \mathbb{F}[X]$ which is strictly positive on S necessarily belongs to $\text{cone}_{\mathbb{F}}\{1, a_1, \dots, a_m\}$.*

Theorem 3. (Pólya's theorem [Pól28], [HLP88, §2.24]). *Let $f \in \mathbb{F}[X]$ be homogeneous and strictly positive on the simplex*

$$\Delta := \left\{ x \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}^d : x_1 + \cdots + x_d = 1 \right\}.$$

Then there exists $N \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ such that $(\sum_{i=1}^d X_i)^N f(X) \in \mathcal{S}(X)$.

Note that the proof of Theorem 3 given in [Pól28] and [HLP88, §2.24] is based on elementary arguments. A bound on N can be found in [PR01, Theorem 1].

Theorem 4. (Stengle's positivstellensatz [Ste74]). *Let $f \in \mathbb{F}[X]$ be strictly positive on $S(a)$. Then there exist $g, h \in \mathcal{P}(a)$ such that $f = (1 + g)/(1 + h)$.*

2 Proofs

If $l \in \mathbb{R}[X] \setminus \{0\}$ is linear homogeneous and $f \in \mathbb{R}[X] \setminus \{0\}$, we call the polynomial $f_0(X) := l(X)^{\deg f} f\left(\frac{X_1}{l(X)}, \dots, \frac{X_d}{l(X)}\right)$ the *homogenization* of f with respect to l . For $f \in \mathbb{F}[X]$, writing $f = \sum_{\alpha} c_{\alpha} X^{\alpha} := \sum_{\alpha} c_{\alpha} X_1^{\alpha_1} \cdots X_d^{\alpha_d}$ we assume that the sum extends over $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^d$ and the coefficients $c_{\alpha} \in \mathbb{F}$ are zero for all but finitely many α 's. For $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^d$ we define $|\alpha| := \alpha_1 + \cdots + \alpha_d$. We also introduce the notation $\|X\|^2 := \sum_{i=1}^d X_i^2$.

The following lemma is used in the proof of (H).

Lemma 5. *Let $f \in \mathbb{F}[X]$ be strictly positive on $S := \{a_1 \geq 0, \dots, a_m \geq 0\}$. Let $l_1, \dots, l_d \in \mathbb{F}[X]$ be linear and such that $\mathbb{F}[X] = \mathbb{F}[l_1, \dots, l_d]$. Let $q := t - \sum_{i=1}^d l_i - \sum_{j=1}^m a_j$, where $t \in \mathbb{F}_{>0}$. Then $f \in \mathcal{S}(l_1, \dots, l_d, a_1, \dots, a_m, q)$.*

Proof. Without loss of generality let $(l_1, \dots, l_d) = X$. We introduce indeterminates $Y_1, \dots, Y_m$ and Z and define $Y := (Y_1, \dots, Y_m)$. Consider

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma(X, Y, Z) &:= \frac{1}{t} \left(\sum_{i=1}^d X_i + \sum_{j=1}^m Y_j + Z \right), \\ g(X, Y, Z) &:= f(X) + C \sum_{j=1}^m (Y_j - a_j(X))^2, \text{ where } C \in \mathbb{F}_{>0}, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta &:= \left\{ (x, y, z) \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}^d \times \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}^m \times \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} : \sigma(x, y, z) = 1 \right\}, \\ A &:= \{(x, y, z) \in \Delta : y_1 = a_1(x), \dots, y_m = a_m(x)\}, \end{aligned}$$

For every $C \in \mathbb{F}_{>0}$ the polynomial $g(X, Y, Z)$ is strictly positive on A . Since A and Δ are compact, we can fix a sufficiently large $C \in \mathbb{F}_{>0}$ for which g becomes strictly positive on Δ . Let g_0 be the homogenization of g with respect to σ . Then also g_0 is strictly positive on Δ . By Theorem 3 applied to g_0 and the simplex Δ , there exists $N \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ such that $h(X, Y, Z) := \sigma(X, Y, Z)^N g_0(X, Y, Z) \in \mathcal{S}(X, Y, Z)$. In $h(X, Y, Z)$ we successively substitute Z with $t - \sum_{i=1}^d X_i - \sum_{j=1}^m Y_j$ and Y_j with $a_j(X)$ for every $j \in [m]$. We obtain $f(X) = h(X, a, q) \in \mathcal{S}(X, a, q)$. $\square$

Proof of (H). Assume that $a_1, \dots, a_m$ are all linear. We can choose $t_1, \dots, t_d \in \mathbb{F}$ such that $l_i := t_i + X_i$ is nonnegative on S for every $i \in [d]$. Having chosen $t_1, \dots, t_d$ we choose a sufficiently large $t \in \mathbb{F}_{>0}$ such that the polynomial q from Lemma 5 is nonnegative on S . By Lemma 5, $f \in \mathcal{S}(l_1, \dots, l_d, a_1, \dots, a_m, q)$. By the Farkas lemma $l_1, \dots, l_d, q \in \text{cone}_{\mathbb{F}}\{1, a_1, \dots, a_m\}$. Hence $f \in \mathcal{S}(1, a_1, \dots, a_m) = \mathcal{S}(a)$. $\square$

If $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $A_1, \dots, A_n, B_1, \dots, B_n$ are indeterminates, then

$$\begin{aligned} A_1 \cdots A_n \pm B_1 \cdots B_n &= \frac{1}{2^{n-1}} \sum_{e \in E_{\pm}^n} \prod_{i=1}^n (A_i + e_i B_i) \\ &\in \mathcal{S}(A_1 + B_1, \dots, A_n + B_n, A_1 - B_1, \dots, A_n - B_n), \end{aligned} \quad (1)$$

where E_+^n (resp. E_-^n) is the set of all vectors $e \in \{-1, 1\}^n$ with even (resp. odd) number of components equal to -1 . The latter can be easily proved (e.g., by induction on n).

The following lemmas are (essentially) borrowed from [BW01, Sch02, Sch05]. We somewhat simplify their formulations and the proofs. Lemma 6 is a somewhat more explicit version of Lemma 2.1 from [Sch02] (see also [BW01, Lemma 1]).

Lemma 6. *Let $\rho \in \mathbb{F}_{>0}$ and let $f = \sum_{\alpha} c_{\alpha} X^{\alpha} \in \mathbb{F}[X]$. We define $t(f, \rho) := \sum_{\alpha} |c_{\alpha}|(\rho + 1)^{|\alpha|}$. Then $t(f, \rho) \pm f \in \mathcal{P}(\rho - \|X\|^2)$.*

Proof. Since $t(f, \rho) = t(-f, \rho)$ it suffices to show $t(f, \rho) + f \in \mathcal{P}(\rho - \|X\|^2)$. We have

$$t(f, \rho) + f = \sum_{\alpha} (c_{\alpha}(\rho + 1)^{|\alpha|} \pm c_{\alpha} X^{\alpha}) = \sum_{\alpha} |c_{\alpha}| \left((\rho + 1)^{|\alpha|} + \text{sign}(c_{\alpha}) X^{\alpha} \right).$$

Let α be an arbitrary multi-index with $\alpha \neq (0, \dots, 0)$. Let us apply (1) for $n = |\alpha|$. Substituting $A_1, \dots, A_n$ with ρ and $B_1, \dots, B_n$ with appropriate X_i 's, we see that $(\rho + 1)^{|\alpha|} + \text{sign}(c_{\alpha}) X^{\alpha} \in \mathcal{P}(\rho + 1 - X_1, \dots, \rho + 1 - X_d, \rho + 1 + X_1, \dots, \rho + 1 + X_d)$. For $i \in [d]$ one has

$$\rho + 1 \pm X_i = \frac{1}{2} \left((\rho + 1) + (1 \pm X_i)^2 + \sum_{j \in [d] \setminus \{i\}} X_j^2 + (\rho - \|X\|^2) \right) \in \mathcal{P}(\rho - \|X\|^2). \quad (2)$$

Hence $t(f, \rho) + f \in \mathcal{P}(\rho - \|X\|^2)$. □

Lemma 7 is similar to Lemma 8 from [Sch05].

Lemma 7. *Let $S := \{a_1 \geq 0, \dots, a_m \geq 0\}$ and let $f \in \mathbb{F}[X]$ be strictly positive on S . Let B be a compact subset of $\mathbb{R}^d$. Then there exists $g \in \mathcal{M}(a)$ such that $f - g$ is strictly positive on B .*

Proof. Let $T := \{x \in B : f(x) \leq 0\}$. We shall use a as the function $x \mapsto (a_1(x), \dots, a_m(x))$ from $\mathbb{R}^d$ to $\mathbb{R}^m$. The set $a(B)$ is compact. Hence there exists $\gamma \in \mathbb{F}_{>0}$ such that $a(B) \subseteq (-\infty, 2\gamma]^m$. By the assumption on f we have $a(T) \cap [0, 2\gamma]^m = \emptyset$. Since $a(T)$ and $[0, 2\gamma]^m$ are compact, there exists $\varepsilon \in \mathbb{F}_{>0}$ such that $a(T) \cap [-2\varepsilon, \gamma]^m = \emptyset$. By the choice of γ and ε we see that if $x \in B$ and $a_j(x) \geq -2\varepsilon$ for every $j \in [m]$, then $f(x) > 0$. Consequently,

$$\mu := \min\{f(x) : x \in B \text{ and } a_j(x) \geq -2\varepsilon \ \forall j \in [m]\} > 0.$$

Consider the univariate polynomial $h(t) := t \left(\frac{t-\gamma}{\gamma+\varepsilon} \right)^{2N} \in \mathbb{R}[t]$, where $N \in \mathbb{N}$ is to be fixed below. One has

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \leq h(t) &\leq \gamma \left(\frac{\gamma}{\gamma + \varepsilon} \right)^{2N} =: c(N) && \text{on} && [0, 2\gamma], \\ -h(t) &\geq 2\varepsilon \left(\frac{\gamma + 2\varepsilon}{\gamma + \varepsilon} \right)^{2N} =: C(N) && \text{on} && (-\infty, -2\varepsilon]. \end{aligned}$$

We define $g(X) := \sum_{j=1}^m h(a_j(X))$. Let $x \in B$. If $a_j(x) \geq -2\varepsilon$ for every $j \in [m]$, we have

$$f(x) - g(x) \geq \mu - \sum_{j=1}^m h(a_j(x)) \geq \mu - \sum_{\substack{j \in [m] \\ a_j(x) \geq 0}} h(a_j(x)) \geq \mu - m c(N).$$

If $a_j(x) \leq -2\varepsilon$ for some $j \in [m]$, we have

$$f(x) - g(x) \geq \min_{y \in B} f(y) - \sum_{\substack{j \in [m] \\ a_j(x) \geq 0}} h(a_j(x)) - \sum_{\substack{j \in [m] \\ a_j(x) < 0}} h(a_j(x)) \geq \min_{y \in B} f(y) - m c(N) + C(N).$$

Since $c(N) \rightarrow 0$ and $C(N) \rightarrow +\infty$, as $N \rightarrow +\infty$, we deduce $f(x) - g(x) > 0$ for every $x \in B$ by choosing N sufficiently large. $\square$

Lemma 8. *Let $S := \{a_1 \geq 0, \dots, a_m \geq 0\}$ be bounded. Let $\rho \in \mathbb{F}_{>0}$ and let $\rho - \|X\|^2$ be strictly positive on S . Let $f \in \mathbb{F}[X]$ be strictly positive on S . Then $f \in \mathcal{M}(a, \rho - \|X\|^2)$.*

Proof. Fix any linear $l_1, \dots, l_k \in \mathbb{F}[X]$ with $k \in \mathbb{N}$ such that the polyhedron $\{l_1 \geq 0, \dots, l_k \geq 0\}$ is nonempty and bounded (e.g., one can take $l_1, \dots, l_k$ with $k = 2d$ and $\{l_1 \geq 0, \dots, l_k \geq 0\} = [0, 1]^d$). By Lemma 6, one has $t + l_1, \dots, t + l_k \in \mathcal{P}(\rho - \|X\|^2)$ for every $t \in \mathbb{F}$ with $t \geq \max_{i \in [k]} t(l_i, \rho)$. The set $B := \{t + l_1 \geq 0, \dots, t + l_k \geq 0\}$ is bounded¹. By Lemma 7 there exists $g \in \mathcal{M}(a)$ such that $f - g$ is strictly positive on B . By (H), $f - g \in \mathcal{S}(t + l_1, \dots, t + l_k)$. By the choice of t we have $\mathcal{S}(t + l_1, \dots, t + l_k) \subseteq \mathcal{P}(\rho - \|X\|^2) = \mathcal{M}(\rho - \|X\|^2)$. It follows $f \in \mathcal{M}(a, \rho - \|X\|^2)$. $\square$

The proof of Lemma 8 can be compared with the proof of Theorem 3 from [Sch05, pp. 8–9], in which the author uses Pólya's theorem rather than (H). Lemma 9 is a somewhat more general form of Theorem 2.2 from [Sch02] (see also [BW01, The proof of Theorem 4]).

Lemma 9. *Let $h \in \mathbb{F}[X]$ and $\rho \in \mathbb{F}_{>0}$. Then there exists $\rho' \in \mathbb{F}_{>0}$ such that $\rho' - \|X\|^2 \in \mathcal{M}(h, (1 + h)(\rho - \|X\|^2))$.*

Proof. By Lemma 6 there exists $t = t(h, \rho)$ such that $t - h \in \mathcal{P}(\rho - \|X\|^2)$. It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{M}((1 + h)(\rho - \|X\|^2), h) &\ni (1 + h)(\rho - \|X\|^2) + h\|X\|^2 + \rho(1 + h)(t - h) + \rho(t/2 - h)^2 \\ &= \rho(1 + t/2)^2 - \|X\|^2. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, one can define $\rho' := \rho(1 + t/2)^2$. $\square$

Proof of (JP), (P) and (S). We start with (JP). Assume that $l_1, \dots, l_k \in \mathcal{M}(a)$, where $k \in \mathbb{N}$, are all linear and $\{l_1 \geq 0, \dots, l_k \geq 0\}$ is bounded. Without loss of generality let $\{l_1 \geq 0, \dots, l_k \geq 0\} \subseteq [-1, 1]^d$. We notice that

$$\begin{aligned} d - \|X\|^2 &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^d \left((1 + X_i)^2(1 - X_i) + (1 - X_i)^2(1 + X_i) \right) \\ &\in \mathcal{M}(1 - X_1, \dots, 1 - X_d, 1 + X_1, \dots, 1 + X_d). \end{aligned}$$

¹This is easy to verify for various concrete choices of $l_1, \dots, l_k$, e.g., in the case $k = 2d$ and $\{l_1 \geq 0, \dots, l_k \geq 0\} = [0, 1]^d$. In the general situation the boundedness of B follows from the fact that B has the same recession cone as $\{l_1 \geq 0, \dots, l_k \geq 0\}$. See, for example, [Sch86, §8.2].

By the Farkas lemma $1 \pm X_i \in \text{cone}_{\mathbb{F}}(1, l_1, \dots, l_m) \subseteq \mathcal{M}(a)$ for every $i \in [d]$. Hence $d - \|X\|^2 \in \mathcal{M}(a)$. The polynomial $1 + d - \|X\|^2$ is strictly positive on S and belongs to $\mathcal{M}(a)$. Thus, in view of Lemma 8, we deduce $f \in \mathcal{M}(a, 1 + d - \|X\|^2) \subseteq \mathcal{M}(a)$.

For showing (S) we choose $\rho \in \mathbb{F}_{>0}$ such that $\rho - \|X\|^2$ is strictly positive on S . By Stengle's positivstellensatz, applied to the polynomial $\rho - \|X\|^2$ strictly positive on S , there exist $g, h \in \mathcal{P}(a)$ such that $\rho - \|X\|^2 = (1+g)/(1+h)$ and $g, h \in \mathcal{P}(a)$. Hence $(1+h)(\rho - \|X\|^2) \in \mathcal{P}(a)$. Then, in view of Lemma 9, there exists $\rho' \in \mathbb{F}_{>0}$ such that $\rho' - \|X\|^2 \in \mathcal{P}(a)$. By Lemma 8, $f \in \mathcal{M}(a, \rho' - \|X\|^2)$. Thus, $f \in \mathcal{P}(a)$.

Let us show (P). Assume $g \in \mathcal{M}(a)$ and $\{g \geq 0\}$ is bounded. By Lemma 7 there exists $h \in \mathcal{M}(a)$ such that $f - h$ is strictly positive on $\{g \geq 0\}$. By (S), $f - h \in \mathcal{P}(g) = \mathcal{M}(g) \subseteq \mathcal{M}(a)$. Hence $f \in \mathcal{M}(a)$. $\square$

References

- [BCR98] J. Bochnak, M. Coste, and M.-F. Roy, *Real Algebraic Geometry*, Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete (3), vol. 36, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1998.
- [BW01] R. Berr and T. Wörmann, *Positive Polynomials on Compact Sets*, Manuscripta Math. **104** (2001), no. 2, 135–143.
- [CLO07] D. Cox, J. Little, and D. O'Shea, *Ideals, Varieties, and Algorithms*, third ed., Undergraduate Texts in Mathematics, Springer, New York, 2007.
- [Han88] D. Handelman, *Representing polynomials by positive linear functions on compact convex polyhedra*, Pacific J. Math. **132** (1988), no. 1, 35–62.
- [HLP88] G. H. Hardy, J. E. Littlewood, and G. Pólya, *Inequalities*, Cambridge Mathematical Library, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1988, Reprint of the 1952 edition.
- [JP01] T. Jacobi and A. Prestel, *Distinguished representations of strictly positive polynomials*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **532** (2001), 223–235.
- [Las10] J. B. Lasserre, *Moments, Positive Polynomials and their Applications*, Imperial College Press Optimization Series, vol. 1, Imperial College Press, London, 2010.
- [Mar08] M. Marshall, *Positive Polynomials and Sums of Squares*, Mathematical Surveys and Monographs, vol. 146, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2008.
- [PD01] A. Prestel and C. N. Delzell, *Positive Polynomials*, Springer Monographs in Mathematics, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2001.
- [Pól28] G. Pólya, *Über positive Darstellung von Polynomen*, Vierteljahresschrift der Naturforschenden Gesellschaft in Zürich **73** (1928), 141–145, reprinted in: Collected Papers, vol. 2, MIT Press, Cambridge, 1974, pp. 309–313.
- [PR01] V. Powers and B. Reznick, *A new bound for Pólya's theorem with applications to polynomials positive on polyhedra*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra **164** (2001), no. 1-2, 221–229, Effective methods in algebraic geometry (Bath, 2000).
- [PS09] M. Putinar and S. Sullivant (eds.), *Emerging Applications of Algebraic Geometry*, The IMA Volumes in Mathematics and its Applications, vol. 149, Springer, New York, 2009.

- [Put93] M. Putinar, *Positive polynomials on compact semi-algebraic sets*, Indiana Univ. Math. J. **42** (1993), no. 3, 969–984.
- [Sch86] A. Schrijver, *Theory of Linear and Integer Programming*, Wiley-Interscience Series in Discrete Mathematics, John Wiley & Sons Ltd., Chichester, 1986, A Wiley-Interscience Publication.
- [Sch91] K. Schmüdgen, *The K -moment problem for compact semi-algebraic sets*, Math. Ann. **289** (1991), no. 2, 203–206.
- [Sch02] M. Schweighofer, *An algorithmic approach to Schmüdgen’s Positivstellensatz*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra **166** (2002), no. 3, 307–319.
- [Sch05] ———, *Optimization of polynomials on compact semialgebraic sets*, SIAM J. Optim. **15** (2005), no. 3, 805–825 (electronic).
- [Ste74] G. Stengle, *A nullstellensatz and a positivstellensatz in semialgebraic geometry*, Math. Ann. **207** (1974), 87–97.